SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

SURABAYA STATE UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF EDUCATION DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL CURRICULUM AND TECHNOLOGY EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY S1 STUDY PROGRAM									Document Code	
			SEMI	ESTER LEARN	ING PLAN					
COURSES (MK)			CODE	MK family		WEIGHT	(credits)	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Learning Evaluation				Evaluation		T=3	P=3			
AUTHORIZATION]	RPS Developer		RMK Coordina	tor		Head of Study	Program	
		U	charged to MK							
Learning	CPL-S9	technolog	Able to demonstrate a scientific, critical and innovative attitude in scientific and responsible learning of education technology							
Outcomes(CP)	CPL-P		Applying educational technology knowledge as a Learning Technology Developer, Education and Training Analyst, and Multimedia/Animation/Broadcast Teacher							
	CPL-KK	-	blems based on the ng digital literacy	case study meth	od or project-base	d group lear	ning in the	field of Educatio	n technology, by	
	CPL-KU Able to produce outcomes in the form of high performance and commitment as a Learning Technol Education and Training Analyst, and Multimedia/Animation/Broadcast Teacher						ology Developer,			
	Course Learning Outcomes (CPMK)									
	CPMK-S Able to demonstrate a scientific, critical, and innovative attitude in evaluating student learning outcomes i								es in educational	
	technology science in a professional and responsible manner									
	СРМК-Р	Able to	apply educational te g student learning ou	echnology know		ing Technol	ogy Develo	oper and Educat	ional Analyst in	

CPMK-	Able to so	Able to solve problems based on case study methods in the field of educational technology to evaluate student learning												
KK	outcomes													
CPMK-KU											ysis and ev	valuatio	n of studer	nt learnin
		atcomes as Learning Technology Developers and Education and Training Analysts												
The final abil	ity of each	learni	ing stage	e (Sub-C	PMK)									
Sub-CPMK1	Understan	nd the	basic con	cepts of	assessme	ent								
Sub-CPMK2	Understan	nd the	basic con	cepts of	assessme	ent								
Sub-CPMK3	Understan													
Sub-CPMK4	Understan	nd asse	essment n	nethods a	and evalu	ation too	ols							
Sub-CPMK5	Understan	nd asse	essment n	nethods a	and evalu	ation too	ols							
Sub-CPMK6	Understan	nd and	be able t	o develo	p tests									
Sub-CPMK7	Understan	nd and	be able t	o develo	p tests									
Sub-CPMK8	Understan	nd and	be able t	o develo	p non-tes	t tools								
Sub-CPMK9	Understan	nd the	basic con	cepts of	item anal	lysis								
Sub-	Understan	nd basi	ic concep	ts and be	able to a	analyze c	uestions							
CPMK10														
Sub-	Understan	nd basi	ic concep	ts and be	e able to a	analyze c	uestions							
CPMK11														
Sub-	Understan	nding t	he learni	ng evalu	ation pro	cess								
CPMK12														
Sub-	Understan	nding t	he learni	ng evalu	ation pro	cess								
CPMK13														
_														
Correlation b		1		1	r		1	I	1	I	1			T
		bub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-	Sub-
	_	CPM	CPM	CPM	CPM	CPM	CPM	CPM	CPM	CPM	СРМК	СР	СРМК	CPMK
		K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K7	K8	K9	10	MK	12	13
												11		
CPMK-S														
CPMK-P														
CPMK-KK	•													

CPMK-KU...

MK	ptionShort	A study of the basic concepts and principles of evaluating learning processes and outcomes, types of evaluation tools, development various evaluation tools, testing the quality of evaluation tools empirically, and skillfully planning, implementing, processing, reporting of learning evaluation results, as well as remediation of learning outcomes.							
v	Materials:		ept of assessment						
Learni	earning Materials 2. Evaluation type								
			nt and evaluation tools						
		4. Develop te							
		5. Non-test e							
Referen	nces	Main:		orin W. Dan Krathwohl , AND ASSESSMENT	l, David R. (2014). Foundation Framew	ork for LEARNING,		
		Supporter:	Sumarna Surapranata. Class-Based A	Assessment: Guidelines			·		
	rting lecturer tcondition	Learning Theo	pry,Introduction to Curric	C Remaja Rosdakarya.	Loor	ing Forms			
	tcondition The final at learnin	oility of each ng stage		culum,Statistics	Learni Student	ing Forms, ng methods, Assignment, nated time]	Learning materials [References]	Rating Weight (%)	
Subject	tcondition The final at learnin	oility of each	ory,Introduction to Curric	culum,Statistics	Learni Student	ng methods,	materials	Weight	
Subject	tcondition The final at learnin (Sub-C	oility of each ng stage	ory,Introduction to Currio Evalu	culum,Statistics	Learni Student Estin Offline	ng methods, Assignment, nated time] Online Learning	materials	Weight	
Subject	tcondition The final at learnin (Sub-C	oility of each ng stage CPMK) 2)	ery,Introduction to Curric Evalu Indicator	culum,Statistics ation Criteria & Form	Learni Student Estin Offline Learning	ng methods, Assignment, nated time] Online Learning (online) (6) Learning Forms &	materials [References] (7) Anderson, Lorin	Weight (%)	
Subject Mg to-	tcondition The final at learnin (Sub-C	oility of each ng stage CPMK) 2) he basic	ory,Introduction to Curric Evalu Indicator (3)	culum,Statistics ation Criteria & Form (4)	Learni Student Estin Offline Learning	ng methods, Assignment, nated time] Online Learning (online) (6)	materials [References] (7)	Weight (%)	
Subject Mg to-	tcondition The final at learnin (Sub-C (2 Understand t	oility of each ng stage CPMK) 2) he basic	ery,Introduction to Curric Evalu Indicator (3) • Able to understand	culum,Statistics ation Criteria & Form (4) Assessment criteria : - Assessed concept:	Learni Student Estin Offline Learning	ng methods, Assignment, nated time] Online Learning (online) (6) Learning Forms &	materials [References] (7) Anderson, Lorin W. Dan Krathwohl, David	Weight (%) (8)	
Subject Mg to-	tcondition The final at learnin (Sub-C (2 Understand t	oility of each ng stage CPMK) 2) he basic	ery,Introduction to Curric Evalu Indicator (3) • Able to understand the basic concepts	culum,Statistics ation Criteria & Form (4) Assessment criteria : - Assessed	Learni Student Estin Offline Learning	ng methods, Assignment, nated time] Online Learning (online) (6) Learning Forms & Methods: - Question and answer	materials [References] (7) Anderson, Lorin W. Dan Krathwohl, David R. (2014).	Weight (%)	
Subject Mg to-	tcondition The final at learnin (Sub-C (2 Understand t	oility of each ng stage CPMK) 2) he basic	ery,Introduction to Curric Evalu Indicator (3) • Able to understand the basic concepts of assessment	culum,Statistics ation Criteria & Form (4) Assessment criteria : - Assessed concept:	Learni Student Estin Offline Learning	ng methods, Assignment, nated time] Online Learning (online) (6) Learning Forms & Methods: - Question and	materials [References] (7) Anderson, Lorin W. Dan Krathwohl, David	Weight (%) (8)	

			 Question and answer group discussion Written test 	Assignment: - Study evaluation case analysis with small groups of 3-4 students/I - Individual tasks I	LEARNING, TEACHING, AND ASSESSMENT	
2.	Understand the basic concepts of assessment.	Can explain the understanding, purpose, function, basis, characteristics, and principles of assessment	Assessment criteria : - Assessed concept: - Basic concept of assessment Assessment Form: - Question and answer - group discussion - Written test	Learning Forms & Methods: - Question and answer - group discussion - Case analysis Assignment: Individual Task II	Anderson, Lorin W. Dan Krathwohl, David R. (2014). Foundation Framework for LEARNING, TEACHING, AND ASSESSMENT	2%
3.	Understand the types of evaluation	Can explain the meaning of placement evaluation, diagnostic, formative, and summative	Assessment criteria : Understanding of the types of evaluation Assessment form: - Question and answer - group discussion - Written test	Learning Forms & Methods: - Question and answer - group discussion - Case analysis Assignment: Individual Task III	Types of Evaluation	4%
4.	Understand assessment methods and evaluation tools	Can explain: 1. Definition of written test and performance appraisal 2. Characteristics of written test and performance appraisal	Assessment criteria : Develop test Assessment Form: - Written test	Forms & Learning Methods: - Lecture - group discussion Assignment: Group and Individual Tasks IV	Assessment Materials and evaluation tools	5%

		Types of evaluation tools: test (written, oral, action), non-test, & portfolio.				
5.	Understand assessment methods and evaluation tools	Can explain: 1. Definition of written test and performance appraisal 2. Characteristics of written test and performance appraisal Types of evaluation tools: test (written, oral, action), non-test, & portfolio.	Assessment criteria : Develop test Assessment Form: Written test	Forms & Learning Methods: - Lecture - group discussion Assignment: Group and Individual Tasks V	Assessment Materials and evaluation tools	5%
6.	Understand and be able to develop tests	Can explain:1. Test type2. Test development procedure	Assessment criteria : Develop test Assessment Form: Written test	Forms & Learning Methods: - Lecture - group discussion Assignment: Group and Individual Tasks VI	Test Development Material	10%
7.	Understand and be able to develop tests	Can explain:1. Test type2. Test development procedure	Assessment criteria : Develop test Assessment Form: Written test	Forms & Learning Methods: - Lecture - group discussion Assignment: Group and Individual Tasks VII	Test Development Material	10%

8.	Understand and be able to develop non-test tools	Can explain: 1. Non-test type 2. Non-test development procedure Developing non-test instruments	Assessment criteria : Develop non-test evaluation tools	Forms & Learning Methods: - group discussion Assignment: Group and Individual Tasks VIII	Non-test evaluation tool development materials	10%
9.	Understand the basic concepts of item analysis	Can explain the concepts of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and discriminatory items question.	Assessment criteria : analyze the items	Learning Forms & Methods: - group discussion Assignment: Group and Individual Tasks IX	Item analysis material	2%
10.	Understand basic concepts and be able to analyze questions	 Can explain the concept of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and discriminatory items question. Can analyze looking for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiating items question. 	Assessed concept: analyze the items Task : The analysis looks for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiating power of items Rating Description: 4 = very good 3 = good 2 = not good 1 = very poor	 Learning Forms & Methods: Item analysis group discussion Presentation of item analysis Assignment: Analyzing items in groups Make examples of questions according to the rules of writing questions 	Item analysis material	10%

11.	Understand basic concepts and be able to analyze questions	 Can explain the concept of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and discriminatory items question. Can analyze looking for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiating items question. 	Assessed concept: analyze the items Task : The analysis looks for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiating power of items Rating Description: 4 = very good 3 = good 2 = not good 1 = very poor	Learning Forms & Methods: - Item analysis - group discussion - Presentation of item analysis Assignment: - Analyzing items in groups - Make questions according to the rules of writing questions	Item analysis material	10%
12.	Understanding the learning evaluation process	Able to explain and carry out the processing of individual learning evaluations and group.	Assessment criteria: Learning evaluation reporting process Task: Make an evaluation report Rating Description: 4 = very good 3 = good 2 = not good 1 = very poor Assessment form: Make an evaluation report	Learning Forms & Methods: - Processing learning evaluation data - group discussion - Presentation of learning evaluation processing Assignment: - Make a study evaluation report	Lamijan Hadi Susanno. (2020). Evaluation and Remediation of Learning Outcomes. Surabaya: CV. Star	15%
13.	Understanding the learning evaluation process	Able to explain and carry out the processing of	Assessment criteria: Learning evaluation reporting process Task:	Learning Forms & Methods:	Lamijan Hadi Susanno. (2020). Evaluation and Remediation of	15%

individual learning	Make an evaluation	- Processing	Learning
evaluations and	report	learning	Outcomes.
group.	Rating Description:	evaluation data	Surabaya: CV.
	4 = very good	- group	Star
	3 = good	discussion	
	2 = not good	- Presentation of	
	1 = very poor	learning	
	Assessment form:	evaluation	
	Make an evaluation	processing	
	report	Assignment:	
		Make a study	
		evaluation report	